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Corporate Priorities:

This report relates to the following priority(ies):

- To provide excellent, value for money services and sustainable growth
- Achieve financial self-sufficiency by 2020
- Enable our residents to live healthy, independent lives
- Optimise income and identify new revenue opportunities (CR)
- Prioritise use of resources to meet changing customer needs and demands (CR)
- Ensure that the council works efficiently and effectively (CR)
- Ensure residents have a home that works for them and is affordable (CH)
- Deliver digitally-enabled services that residents can access (CH)
- Understand our communities and enable people to take an active part in them (CH)
- Enhance our local, open spaces to make them places where people want to be (EPR)
☐ Continue to improve the efficiency of our waste and recycling services (EPR)
☐ Protect the public (EPR)
☐ Promote sustainable growth and economic prosperity (GI)
☐ Promote and grow Rugby’s visitor economy with our partners (GI)
☐ Encourage healthy and active lifestyles to improve wellbeing within the borough (GI)
☐ This report does not specifically relate to any Council priorities but

**Statutory/Policy Background:** National Audit Office (NAO)'s Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and Auditor Guidance Note (AGN) 07 –‘Auditor Reporting'

**Summary:** This report provides an update on the external auditor’s progress delivering their responsibilities and a update on the Housing Benefit Grant certification for 2018/19

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

**Financial Implications:** There are no financial implications for this report.

**Risk Management Implications:** There are no risk management implications for this report.

**Environmental Implications:** There are no environmental implications for this report.

**Legal Implications:** There are no legal implications for this report.

**Equality and Diversity:** There are no equality and diversity implications for this report.

**Options:** Not applicable

**Recommendation:** To note the Audit Progress Report and Sector update as attached Appendix 1.

**Reasons for Recommendation:** N/A
Audit and Ethics Committee - 28 January 2019

External Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Public Report of the Head of Corporate Resources and CFO

**Recommendation**

To note the Audit Progress Report and Sector update as attached Appendix 1.

**Introduction**

This paper provides the Audit and Ethics Committee with a report from Grant Thornton on their progress in delivering their responsibilities as the Council’s external auditors.

The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to the Council; and
- an update on the housing benefit grant certification 2018/19.

This report is appended for the Committee’s consideration. A representative from Grant Thornton will attend the meeting to present the report.
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This paper provides the Audit and Ethics Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority.

Members of the Audit and Ethics Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications [www.grantthornton.co.uk](http://www.grantthornton.co.uk).

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

One matter we will discuss further with members is that following a review of audit portfolios we have had to make a change in the Council’s Engagement Manager. Jim McLarnon will be taking over from Mary Wren. We will introduce Jim at the next Audit & Ethics Committee.
Progress at January 2020

Financial Statements Audit
We issued our opinion on your 2018/19 Statement of Accounts on 31 July 2019 and issued our certificate, closing the audit on the same date.

We started our planning for the 2019/20 audit in December and will issue a detailed audit plan, setting out our proposed approach to the audit of the Council’s 2019/20 financial statements in March.

We have also begun our interim audit in January 2020. Our interim fieldwork includes:
• Updated review of the Council’s control environment
• Updated understanding of financial systems
• Review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems
• Early work on emerging accounting issues
• Early substantive testing

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report and aim to give our opinion on the Statement of Accounts by 31 July 2020.

Value for Money
The scope of our work is set out in the guidance issued by the National Audit Office. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; “the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources”.

The guidance confirmed the overall criterion as: “in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people”.

The three sub criteria for assessment to be able to give a conclusion overall are:
• Informed decision making
• Sustainable resource deployment
• Working with partners and other third parties

Details of our initial risk assessment to determine our approach will be included in our Audit Plan.

We will report our work in the Audit Findings Report.

The NAO is consulting on a new Code of Audit Practice from 2020 which proposes to make significant changes to Value for Money work. Please see page 10 for more details.
Other areas

Certification of claims and returns
We certify the Council’s annual Housing Benefit Subsidy claim in accordance with procedures agreed with the Department for Work and Pensions. The certification work for the 2018/19 claim was completed on 20 December 2019, subsequent to the 30 November 2019 deadline. See overleaf for a detailed summary of our findings in the period.

We also certify the Council’s annual pooling of housing capital receipts return in accordance with procedures agreed with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. The certification work for the 2018/19 claim is ongoing and will be completed prior to the 7 February deadline.

Meetings
We met with Finance Officers in December as part of our periodic liaison meetings and continue to be in discussions with finance staff regarding emerging developments and to ensure the audit process is smooth and effective.

Events
We provide a range of workshops, along with network events for members and publications to support the Council. Your officers have been invited to our Financial Reporting Workshop in February, which will help to ensure that members of your Finance Team are up to date with the latest financial reporting requirements for local authority accounts.

Further details of the publications that may be of interest to the Council are set out in our Sector Update section of this report.

Audit Fees
During 2017, PSAA awarded contracts for audit for a five year period beginning on 1 April 2018. 2019/20 is the second year of that contract. Since that time, there have been a number of developments within the accounting and audit profession. Across all sectors and firms, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing.

Our work in the Local Government sector in 2018/19 has highlighted areas where financial reporting, in particular, property, plant and equipment and pensions, needs to improve. There is also an increase in the complexity of Local Government financial transactions and financial reporting. This combined with the FRC requirement that all Local Government audits are at or above the “few improvements needed” (2A) rating means that additional audit work is required.

We are currently reviewing the impact of these changes on both the cost and timing of audits. We will discuss this with your s151 Officer including any proposed variations to the Scale Fee set by PSAA Limited, before communicating fully with the Audit and Ethics Committee.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC with regard to audit quality and local government financial reporting.
Background
The HBAP Module 1 framework sets out the Department for Work and Pensions’ (DWP) requirements for the reporting accountant to provide a report of factual findings on the completion of the housing benefit subsidy assurance process. This work must be delivered to the DWP no later than 30 November.

Rugby Borough Council – 2018/19
In 2018/19 we were unable to meet the 30 November deadline and therefore the Council secured an initial extension with DWP to 13 December which was subsequently extended further to 20 December.

We identified a number of issues from our certification work and, as a result of the errors identified, the claim was amended and qualified, and we reported our findings to the DWP in our HBAP Report dated 20 December 2019.

Overall, the consequences for failure to meet the deadline is withheld subsidy, initially at 5% rising to 10% the more time that elapses, this has a cash flow impact on the Council.

Issues identified this year included:

Non HRA Rent Rebate
• We identified 7 fails out of 20 cases. All fails related to expenditure misclassification in respect of claimants placed in temporary accommodation where the Council is the landlord. Such properties rents are paid over 48 weeks (4 rent free weeks). The system was correctly reporting 48 weeks in the headline cell but incorrectly reporting 52 weeks and negative 4 weeks in classification cells.

HRA rent rebate
The following error was noted:
• 1 claim resulting in an underpayment of benefit due to the non-application of the foster care indicator.

Rent Allowance
The following errors were noted:
• 1 claim where incorrect earnings were entered applied in the housing benefit assessment, resulting in overpayment
• 1 claim where the incorrect Child tax credit was applied resulting in overpayment
• 2 claims where the incorrect rent had been input resulting in an overpayment of benefit
• 1 claim where Incorrect self-employed earnings used applied in assessment, resulting in overpayment.
• 1 claim where the Incorrect figure entered for change in former employee pension resulting in prior year eligible overpayments being understated.

Fee variation
As stated above, the fee proposal for certification of the housing benefit subsidy claim is based on an anticipated level of work and is adjusted accordingly through a variation based on the actual output. We are currently in discussion with management in regard to the 2018/19 variation but this is expected to be £1,200 per additional workbook in line with our initial agreement.

Going forward
We will work with the Council to formalise a timetable for the HBAP certification and actively monitor this timetable to ensure both parties are in line with expectation and raise any issues which could delay completion of work as soon as they arise.

We will also review at an earlier stage the first 5 cases documented in each headline cell to ensure the audit team and HB team have a clear understanding of the level of documentation required. We acknowledge that the HB manager is already looking to drive improvements for the 2019/20 review.
## Audit Deliverables

### 2018/19 Deliverables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Planned Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit Findings Report</strong></td>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Audit Findings Report was reported to the July Audit and Ethics Committee.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auditors Report</strong></td>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the opinion on your financial statement, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Audit Letter</strong></td>
<td>August 2019</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This letter communicates the key issues arising from our work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2019/20 Deliverables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Planned Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fee Letter</strong></td>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirming audit fee for 2018/19.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accounts Audit Plan</strong></td>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>Not yet due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Audit and Ethics Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council’s 2019-20 financial statements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interim Audit Findings</strong></td>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>Not yet due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We will report to you the findings from our interim audit and our initial value for money risk assessment within our Progress Report.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit Findings Report</strong></td>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>Not yet due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the July Audit and Ethics Committee.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auditors Report</strong></td>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>Not yet due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the opinion on your financial statement, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Audit Letter</strong></td>
<td>August 2020</td>
<td>Not yet due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This letter communicates the key issues arising from our work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Councils continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:
MHCLG – Independent probe into local government audit

In July, the then Communities secretary, James Brokenshire, announced the government is to examine local authority financial reporting and auditing.

At the CIPFA conference he told delegates the independent review will be headed up by Sir Tony Redmond, a former CIPFA president.

The government was “working towards improving its approach to local government oversight and support”, Brokenshire promised.

“A robust local audit system is absolutely pivotal to work on oversight, not just because it reinforces confidence in financial reporting but because it reinforces service delivery and, ultimately, our faith in local democracy,” he said.

“There are potentially far-reaching consequences when audits aren’t carried out properly and fail to detect significant problems.”

The review will look at the quality of local authority audits and whether they are highlighting when an organisation is in financial trouble early enough.

It will also look at whether the public has lost faith in auditors and whether the current audit arrangements for councils are still “fit for purpose”.

On the appointment of Redmond, CIPFA chief executive Rob Whiteman said: “Tony Redmond is uniquely placed to lead this vital review, which will be critical for determining future regulatory requirements.

“Local audit is crucial in providing assurance and accountability to the public, while helping to prevent financial and governance failure.”

He added: “This work will allow us to identify what is needed to make local audit as robust as possible, and how the audit function can meet the assurance needs, both now and in the future, of the sector as a whole.”

In the question and answer session following his speech, Brokenshire said he was not looking to bring back the Audit Commission, which appointed auditors to local bodies and was abolished in 2015. MHCLG note that auditing of local authorities was then taken over by the private, voluntary and not-for-profit sectors.

He explained he was “open minded”, but believed the Audit Commission was “of its time”.

Local authorities in England are responsible for 22% of total UK public sector expenditure so their accounts “must be of the highest level of transparency and quality”, the Ministry of Housing, Local Government and Communities said. The review will also look at how local authorities publish their annual accounts and if the financial reporting system is robust enough.

Redmond, who has also been a local authority treasurer and chief executive, is expected to report to the communities secretary with his initial recommendations in December 2019, with a final report published in March 2020. Redmond has also worked as a local government boundary commissioner and held the post of local government ombudsman.

The terms of reference focus on whether there is an “expectation gap” between the purpose of external audit and what it is currently delivering. It will examine the performance of local authority audit, judged according to the criteria of economy, effectiveness and efficiency.

Other key areas of the review include whether:

1) audit recommendations are effective in helping councils to improve financial management
2) auditors are using their reporting powers appropriately
3) councils are responding to auditors appropriately
4) Financial savings from local audit reforms have been realised
5) There has been an increase in audit providers
6) Auditors are properly responding to questions or objections by local taxpayers
7) Council accounts report financial performance in a way that is transparent and open to local press scrutiny
The Code of Audit Practice sets out what local auditors of relevant local public bodies are required to do to fulfill their statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. ‘Relevant authorities’ are set out in Schedule 2 of the Act and include local councils, fire authorities, police and NHS bodies.

Local auditors must comply with the Code of Audit Practice.

Consultation – New Code of Audit Practice from 2020

Schedule 6 of the Act requires that the Code be reviewed, and revisions considered at least every five years. The current Code came into force on 1 April 2015, and the maximum five-year lifespan of the Code means it now needs to be reviewed and a new Code laid in Parliament in time for it to come in to force no later than 1 April 2020.

In order to determine what changes might be appropriate, the NAO is consulting on potential changes to the Code in two stages:

Stage 1 involves engagement with key stakeholders and public consultation on the issues that are considered to be relevant to the development of the Code.

This stage of the consultation is now closed. The NAO received a total of 41 responses to the consultation which included positive feedback on the two-stage approach to developing the Code that has been adopted. The NAO state that they have considered carefully the views of respondents in respect of the points drawn out from the Issues paper and this will inform the development of the draft Code. A summary of the responses received to the questions set out in the Issues paper can be found below.

Local audit in England Code of Audit Practice – Consultation Response (pdf – 256KB)

Stage 2 of the consultation involves consulting on the draft text of the new Code. To support stage 2, the NAO has published a consultation document, which highlights the key changes to each chapter of the draft Code. The most significant changes are in relation to the Value for Money arrangements. Rather than require auditors to focus on delivering an overall, binary, conclusion about whether or not proper arrangements were in place during the previous financial year, the draft Code requires auditors to issue a commentary on each of the criteria. This will allow auditors to tailor their commentaries to local circumstances. The Code proposes three specific criteria:

a) Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;

b) Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and

c) Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

The consultation document and a copy of the draft Code can be found on the NAO website. The consultation closed on 22 November 2019. The new Code will apply from audits of local bodies’ 2020-21 financial statements onwards.

Link to NAO webpage for the Code consultation:

Financial Reporting Council – Summary of key developments for 2019/20 annual reports

On 30 October the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) wrote an Open Letter to Company Audit Committee Chairs. Some of the points are relevant to local authorities.

The reporting environment

The FRC notes that, “In times of uncertainty, whether created by political events, general economic conditions or operational challenges, investors look for greater transparency in corporate reports to inform their decision-making. We expect companies to consider carefully the detail provided in those areas of their reports which are exposed to heightened levels of risk; for example, descriptions of how they have approached going concern considerations, the impact of Brexit and all areas of material estimation uncertainty.” These issues equally affect local authorities, and the Statement of Accounts or Annual Report should provide readers with sufficient appropriate information on these topics.

Critical judgements and estimates

The FRC wrote “More companies this year made a clear distinction between the critical judgements they make in preparing their accounts from those that involve the making of estimates and which lead to different disclosure requirements. However, some provided insufficient disclosures to explain this area of their reporting where a particular judgement had significant impact on their reporting; for example, whether a specific investment was a joint venture or a subsidiary requiring consolidation. We will continue to have a key focus on the adequacy of disclosures supporting transparent reporting of estimation uncertainties. An understanding of their sensitivity to changing assumptions is of critical value to investors, giving them clearer insight into the possible future changes in balance sheet values and which can inform their investment decisions.” Critical judgements and estimates also form a crucial part of local authority statements of account, with the distinction often blurred.

IFRS 16 Leases

The FRC letter notes “IFRS 16 is effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2019. We recently conducted a thematic review looking at how companies reported on their adoption of the new standard in their June 2019 interim accounts. In advance of our detailed findings which will be published shortly, I set out what we expect to see by way of disclosures in the forthcoming accounts, drawing on the results of our work.

• Clear explanation of the key judgements made in response to the new reporting requirements;
• Effective communication of the impact on profit and loss, addressing any lack of comparability with the prior year;
• Clear identification of practical expedients used on transition and accounting policy choices; and
• Well explained reconciliation, where necessary, of operating lease commitments under IAS 17, ‘Leases’, the previous standard and lease liabilities under IFRS 16.”

The implementation of IFRS is delayed until 1 April 2020 in the public sector when it will replace IAS 17 Leases and the three interpretations that supported its application. Authorities will need information and processes in place to enable them to comply with the requirements. They will need to make disclosures in the 2019/20 accounts about the impact of IFRS 16 in accordance with IAS 8/ Code 3.3.4.3 requirements for disclosure about standards which are issued but are not yet effective.

Challenge question:

Will you have the opportunity to review and comment on your authority’s statement of accounts before they are published at the end of May?
What is the future for local audit?

Paul Dossett, Head of local government at Grant Thornton, has written in the Municipal Journal “Audit has been a hot topic of debate this year and local audit is no exception. With a review into the quality of local audit now ongoing, it’s critical that part of this work looks at the overarching governance and management of the audit regime. We believe there is a strong need for new oversight arrangements if the local audit regime is to remain sustainable and effective in the future.”

Paul goes on to write “Local (local authority and NHS) audit has been a key part of the oversight regime for public services for more than a century. The National Audit Office (NAO) has exercised this role in central government for several generations and their reporting to Parliament via the Public Accounts Committee is a key part of the public spending accountability framework.

Local audit got a significant boost with the creation of the Audit Commission in 1983 which provided a coordinated, high profile focus on local government and (from 1990) NHS spending and performance at a local level. Through undertaking value for money reviews and maintaining a tight focus on the generational governance challenges, such as rate capping in the 1980s and service governance failings in the 1990s, the Commission provided a robust market management function for the local audit regime. Local audit fees, appointments, scope, quality and relevant support for auditors all fell within their ambit.

However, the Commission was ultimately deemed, among other things, to be too expensive and was abolished in 2010, as part of the Coalition Government’s austerity saving plans. While the regime was not perfect, and the sector had acknowledged that reform of the Commission was needed, complete abolition was not the answer.

Since then, there has been no body with complete oversight of the local audit regime and how it interacts with local public services. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government; Department of Health; NHS; NAO; Local Government Association (LGA); Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA); the Financial Reporting Council (FRC); the Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA), audit firms and the audited bodies themselves all have an important role to play but, sometimes, the pursuit of individual organisational objectives has resulted in sub-optimal and even conflicting outcomes for the regime overall.

These various bodies have pursued separate objectives in areas such as audit fee reduction, scope of work, compliance with commercial practice, earlier reporting deadlines and mirroring commercial accounting conventions – to name just a few.

This has resulted in a regime that no stakeholder is wholly satisfied with and one that does not ensure local audit is providing a sufficiently robust and holistic oversight of public spending.

To help provide a more cohesive and co-ordinated approach within the sector, we believe that new oversight arrangements should be introduced. These would have ultimate responsibility for ensuring the sustainability of the local audit regime and that its component parts – including the Audit Code, regulation, market management and fees – interact in an optimal way. While these arrangements do not need to be another Audit Commission, we need to have a strategic approach to addressing the financial sustainability challenges facing local government and the NHS, the benchmarking of performance and the investigation of governance failings.

There are a number of possible solutions including:

1) The creation of a new arm’s length agency with a specific remit for overseeing and joining up local audit. It would provide a framework to ensure the sustainability of the regime, covering fees, appointments, and audit quality. The body would also help to create a consistent voice to government and relevant public sector stakeholders on key issues arising from the regime. Such a body would need its own governance structure drawn from the public sector and wider business community; and

2) Extending the current remit of the NAO. Give it total oversight of the local audit regime and, in effect, establish a local audit version of the NAO, with all the attendant powers exercised in respect of local audit. In this context, there would be a need to create appropriate governance for the various sectors, similar to the Public Accounts Committee.

While the detail of the new arrangements would be up for debate, it’s clear that a new type of oversight body, with ultimate responsibility for the key elements of local audit, is needed. It would help to provide much-needed cohesion across the sector and between its core stakeholders.

The online article is available here:

https://www.themj.co.uk/What-is-the-future-for-audit/214769
Grant Thornton has launched the Sustainable Growth Index (formerly the Vibrant Economy Index) – now in its third year. The Sustainable Growth Index seeks to define and measure the components that create successful places. Our aim in establishing the Index was to create a tool to help frame future discussions between all interested parties, stimulate action and drive change locally. We have undergone a process of updating the data for English Local Authorities on our online, interactive tool, and have produced an updated report on what the data means. All information is available on our online hub, where you can read the new report and our regional analyses.

The Sustainable Growth Index provides an independent, data-led scorecard for each local area that provides:

- businesses with a framework to understand their local economy and the issues that will affect investment decisions both within the business and externally, a tool to support their work with local enterprise partnerships, as well as help inform their strategic purpose and CSR plans in light of their impact on the local social and economic environment
- policy-makers and place-shapers with an overview of the strengths, opportunities and challenges of individual places as well as the dynamic between different areas
- Citizens with an accessible insight into how their place is doing, so that they can contribute to shaping local discussions about what is important to them

The Index shows the 'tip of the iceberg' of data sets and analysis our public services advisory team can provide our private sector clients who are considering future locations in the UK, or wanting to understand the external drivers behind why some locations perform better than others.

Our study looks at over 50 indicators to evaluate all the facets of a place and where they excel or need to improve.

Our index is divided into six baskets. These are:

1. Prosperity
2. Dynamism and opportunity
3. Inclusion and equality
4. Health, wellbeing and happiness
5. Resilience and sustainability
6. Community trust and belonging

This year’s index confirms that cities have a consistent imbalance between high scores related to prosperity, dynamism and opportunity, and low scores for health, wellbeing, happiness inclusion and equality. Disparity between the richest and poorest in these areas represents a considerable challenge for those places.

Inclusion and equality remains a challenge for both highly urban and highly rural places and coastal areas, particularly along the east coast from the North East to Essex and Kent, face the most significant challenges in relation to these measures and generally rank below average.

Creating sustainable growth matters and to achieve this national policy makers and local authorities need to do seven things:

1. Ensure that decisions are made on the basis of robust local evidence.
2. Focus on the transformational trends as well as the local enablers
3. Align investment decisions to support the creation of sustainable growth
4. Align new funding to support the creation of sustainable growth
5. Provide space for innovation and new approaches
6. Focus on place over organisation
7. Take a longer-term view

The online report is available here:
https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/sustainable-growth-index-how-does-your-place-score/
Institute for Fiscal Studies – English local government funding: trends and challenges in 2019 and beyond

The Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) has found “The 2010s have been a decade of major financial change for English local government. Not only have funding levels – and hence what councils can spend on local services – fallen significantly; major reforms to the funding system have seen an increasing emphasis on using funding to provide financial incentives for development via initiatives such as the Business Rates Retention Scheme (BRRS) and the New Homes Bonus (NHB).”

The IFS goes on to report “Looking ahead, increases in council tax and additional grant funding from central government mean a boost to funding next year – but what about the longer term, especially given plans for further changes to the funding system, including an expansion of the BRRS in 2021–22?

This report, the first of what we hope will be an annual series of reports providing an up-to-date analysis of local government, does three things in this context. First, it looks in detail at councils’ revenues and spending, focusing on the trends and choices taken over the last decade. Second, it looks at the outlook for local government funding both in the short and longer term. And third, it looks at the impact of the BRRS and NHB on different councils’ funding so far, to see whether there are lessons to guide reforms to these policies.

The report focuses on those revenue sources and spending areas over which county, district and single-tier councils exercise real control. We therefore exclude spending on police, fire and rescue, national park and education services and the revenues specifically for these services. When looking at trends over time, we also exclude spending on and revenues specifically for public health, and make some adjustments to social care spending to make figures more comparable across years. Public health was only devolved to councils in 2013–14, and the way social care spending is organised has also changed, with councils receiving a growing pot of money from the NHS to help fund services.”

The IFS reports a number of key facts and figures, including
1) Cuts to funding from central government have led to a 17% fall in councils’ spending on local public services since 2009–10 – equal to 23% or nearly £300 per person.
2) Local government has become increasingly reliant on local taxes for revenues.
3) Councils’ spending is increasingly focused on social care services – now 57% of all service budgets.

The IFS report is available on their website below:
https://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/14563