APPEAL REFERENCE: APP/E3715/W/23/3322013

SAVE COVENTRY SPEEDWAY AND STOX: NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

This schedule shows the changes (in red font) to the NPPF made in December 2023 compared to the previous version together with SCS's views on their implications for the Appeal. The first two columns are based on a note prepared by Leanne Buckley-Thomson – Planning and Housing Law barrister at No 5 Chambers, published on LinkedIn.

It should be noted that the paragraphs in Section 8, including the former paragraph 99 remain unaltered, apart from the paragraph reference numbers.

Prepared 4 January 2024

| PREVIOUS NPPF | NEW NPPF | Implications for Coventry Stadium Appeal |
|---|---|--|
| Para 1: | Para 1: | |
| The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied1. It provides a framework within which locally-prepared plans for housing and other development can be produced. | The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied1. It provides a framework within which locally-prepared plans can provide for sufficient housing and other development in a sustainable manner. Preparing and maintaining up-to-date plans should be seen as a priority in meeting this objective. | No implications. |

| Para 6: | Para 6: | |
|--|---|---|
| Other statements of government policy may be material when preparing plans or deciding applications, such as relevant Written Ministerial Statements and endorsed recommendations of the National Infrastructure Commission. | Other statements of government policy may be material when preparing plans or deciding applications, such as relevant Written Ministerial Statements and endorsed recommendations of the National Infrastructure Commission. This includes the Written Ministerial Statement on Affordable Homes Update (24 May 2021) which contains policy on First Homes. | No implications since that statement predates the public inquiry. |
| Para 7: | Para 7: | |
| The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. At a very high level, the objective of sustainable development can be summarised as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. At a similarly high level, members of the United Nations — including the United Kingdom — have agreed to pursue the 17 Global Goals for Sustainable Development in the period to 2030. These address social progress, economic well-being and environmental protection5. | The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, including the provision of homes, commercial development, and supporting infrastructure in a sustainable manner. At a very high level, the objective of sustainable development can be summarised as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs4. At a similarly high level, members of the United Nations — including the United Kingdom — have agreed to pursue the 17 Global Goals for Sustainable Development in the period to 2030. These address social progress, | This change could be seen as strengthening the importance of supporting social and community infrastructure such as Coventry Stadium. |

economic well-being and environmental

protection5.

Footnote 8 (which relates to para 11 d):

This includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, situations where the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites (with the appropriate buffer, as set out in paragraph74); or where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that the delivery of housing was substantially below (less than 75% of) the housing requirement over the previous three years.

Footnote 8 (which relates to para 11 d):

This includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, situations where: (a) the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply (or a four year supply, if applicable, as set out in paragraph 226) of deliverable housing sites (with a buffer, if applicable, as set out in paragraph 77) and does not benefit from the provisions of paragraph 76; or (b) where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that the delivery of housing was below 75% of the housing requirement over the previous three years.

Rugby BC can demonstrate a 6.1 years supply of housing land as at April 2023 and a latest Housing Delivery Test result of 180%. Both indicators represent an improving position in respect of housing land supply.

In this sense the revisions to the NPPF make no change to the position discussed at the Coventry Stadium Public Inquiry. However, the new 'minimum provisions' (i.e. 4 years supply and HDT result of 75%) generally reflect a loosening of top-down pressure, thus improving the relative position of compliant authorities such as Rugby BC. Thereby, the pressure to release capacity on non-allocated sites such as the appeal site has reduced in Rugby to the national policy position in-place during the Public Inquiry.

Paragraph 14:

In situations where the presumption (at paragraph 11d) applies to applications involving the provision of housing, the adverse impact of allowing development that conflicts with the neighbourhood plan is likely to significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, provided all of the following apply⁹:

a) the neighbourhood plan became part of the development plan two years or less before the date on which the decision is made;

Paragraph 14:

In situations where the presumption (at paragraph 11d) applies to applications involving the provision of housing, the adverse impact of allowing development that conflicts with the neighbourhood plan is likely to significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, provided the following apply:

a) the neighbourhood plan became part of the development plan five years or less before the date on which the decision is made; and The provisions of paragraph 11d) did not apply at the appeal and these revisions do not affect that position. b) the neighbourhood plan contains policies b) the neighbourhood plan contains policies and allocations to meet its identified housing and allocations to meet its identified housing requirement (see paragraphs 67-68), where requirement: c) the local planning authority has at least a that requirement has been identified within five three year supply of deliverable housing sites vears or less of the date on which the decision is (against its five year housing supply made requirement, including the appropriate buffer as set out in paragraph 74); and d) the local planning authority's housing delivery was at least 45% of that required 10 over the previous three years. Footnotes 9 and 10: Footnotes deleted ⁹ Transitional arrangements are set out in Annex 1. ¹⁰Assessed against the Housing Delivery Test. from November 2018 onwards. Paragraph 15: Paragraph 15: The planning system should be genuinely plan-The planning system should be genuinely plan-No specific implications. The Rugby Local Plan is led. Succinct and up-to-date plans should led. Succinct and up-to-date plans should up to date and makes provision for meeting provide a positive vision for the future of each provide a positive vision for the future of each housing needs and other priorities including the area; a framework for addressing housing needs area; a framework for meeting housing needs and protection of sport and recreation facilities. and other economic, social and environmental addressing other economic, social and priorities; and a platform for local people to environmental priorities; and a platform for local shape their surroundings. people to shape their surroundings.

| Paragraph 20: | Paragraph 20: | |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| Strategic policies should set out an overall strategy for the pattern, scale and design quality of places, and make sufficient provision13 for: a) housing (including affordable housing), employment, retail, leisure and other commercial development; b) infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, security, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat); c) community facilities (such as health, education and cultural infrastructure); and d) conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment, including landscapes and green infrastructure, and planning measures to address climate change mitigation and adaptation. | Strategic policies should set out an overall strategy for the pattern, scale and design quality of places (to ensure outcomes support beauty and placemaking), and make sufficient provision11 for: a) housing (including affordable housing), employment, retail, leisure and other commercial development; b) infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, security, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat); c) community facilities (such as health, education and cultural infrastructure); and d) conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment, including landscapes and green infrastructure, and planning measures to address climate change | No specific implications. |
| Footnote 24 (relating to paragraph 48(c)): | mitigation and adaptation. Now footnote 22 (relating to paragraph 48(c)): | |
| During the transitional period for emerging plans submitted for examination (set out in paragraph 220), consistency should be tested against the original Framework published in March 2012. | During the transitional period for emerging plans consistency should be tested against the version of the Framework as applicable, as set out in Annex 1. | |

Paragraph 60:

To support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes, it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay.

Paragraph 60:

To support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes, it is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed and that land with permission is developed without unnecessary delay. The overall aim should be to meet as much of an area's identified housing need as possible, including with an appropriate mix of housing types for the local community.

No implications since the Local Plan and its implementation suggests that identified needs as set out in the plan are being addressed.

Paragraph 61:

To determine the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance — unless exceptional circumstances justify an alternative approach which also reflects current and future demographic trends and market signals. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.

Paragraph 61:

To determine the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting-point for establishing a housing requirement for the area (see paragraph 67 below). There may be exceptional circumstances, including relating to the particular demographic characteristics of an area²⁵ which justify an alternative approach to assessing housing need; in which case the alternative approach should also reflect current and future demographic trends and market signals. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to

No implications.

| be planned for ²⁶ . | |
|--|---|
| New footnotes 25-26 | |
| Such particular demographic characteristics could, for example, include areas that are islands with no land bridge that have a significant proportion of elderly residents. | |
| ²⁶ Transitional arrangements are set out in Annex 1. | |
| New Paragraph 62: | |
| The standard method incorporates an uplift which applies to certain cities and urban centres, as set out in national planning guidance. This uplift should be accommodated within those cities and urban centres themselves except where there are voluntary cross boundary redistribution agreements in place, or where it would conflict with the policies in this Framework ²⁷ . | No implications. |
| New footnote 27: | |
| In doing so, strategic policies should promote an effective use of land and optimise site densities in accordance with chapter 11. This is to ensure that homes are built in the right places, to prioritise brownfield and other under-utilised urban sites, to utilise existing infrastructure, and to allow people to live near | No implications since the appeal site does not lie within the urban area. |

| | the services they rely on, making travel patterns more sustainable. | |
|---|--|------------------|
| Paragraph 62: | Now paragraph 63: | |
| Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers27, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes ²⁸). | Within this context of establishing need, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies. These groups should include (but are not limited to) those who require affordable housing; families with children; older people (including those who require retirement housing, housing-with-care and care homes); students; people with disabilities; service families; travellers ²⁸ ; people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes ²⁹ . | No implications. |
| Paragraph 65: | Now paragraph 66: | |
| Where major development involving the provision of housing is proposed, planning policies and decisions should expect at least 10% of the total number of homes to be available for affordable home ownership31, unless this would exceed the level of affordable housing required in the area, or significantly prejudice the ability to meet the identified affordable housing needs of specific groups. | Where major development involving the provision of housing is proposed, planning policies and decisions should expect at least 10% of the total number of homes to be available for affordable home ownership32, unless this would exceed the level of affordable housing required in the area, or significantly prejudice the ability to meet the identified affordable housing needs of specific groups. | No implications. |

Exemptions to this 10% requirement should also be made where the site or proposed development: a) provides solely for Build to Rent homes:

- b) provides specialist accommodation for a group of people with specific needs (such as purpose-built accommodation for the elderly or students):
- c) is proposed to be developed by people who wish to build or commission their own homes: or
- d) is exclusively for affordable housing, an entry-level exception site or a rural exception site.

Exemptions to this 10% requirement should also be made where the site or proposed development:

- a) provides solely for Build to Rent homes;
- b) provides specialist accommodation for a group of people with specific needs (such as purpose-built accommodation for the elderly or students):
- c) is proposed to be developed by people who wish to build or commission their own homes: or

is exclusively for affordable housing, a community-led development exception site or a rural exception site.

Paragraph 68:

Strategic policy-making authorities should have a clear understanding of the land available in their area through the preparation of a strategic housing land availability assessment. From this, planning policies should identify a sufficient supply and mix of sites, taking into account their availability, suitability and likely economic viability. Planning policies should identify a supply of:

- a) specific, deliverable sites for years one to five of the plan period³⁴; and
- b) specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15 of the plan.

Now paragraph 69:

Strategic policy-making authorities should have a clear understanding of the land available in their area through the preparation of a strategic housing land availability assessment. From this, planning policies should identify a sufficient supply and mix of sites, taking into account their availability, suitability and likely economic viability. Planning policies should identify a supply of:

- a) specific, deliverable sites for five years following the intended date of adoption³⁵: and
- b) specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for the subsequent years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15 of the remaining plan period.

No implications. The review of the Local Plan is underway.

Paragraph 69:

Small and medium sized sites can make an important contribution to meeting the housing requirement of an area, and are often built-out relatively quickly. To promote the development of a good mix of sites local planning authorities should:

- a) identify, through the development plan and brownfield registers, land to accommodate at least 10% of their housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare; unless it can be shown, through the preparation of relevant plan policies, that there are strong reasons why this 10% target cannot be achieved;
- b) use tools such as area-wide design assessments and Local Development Orders to help bring small and medium sized sites forward;
- c) support the development of windfall sites through their policies and decisions giving great weight to the benefits of using suitable sites within existing settlements for homes; and work with developers to encourage the subdivision of large sites where this could help to speed up the delivery of homes.

Now paragraph 70:

Small and medium sized sites can make an important contribution to meeting the housing requirement of an area, and are often built-out relatively quickly. To promote the development of a good mix of sites local planning authorities should:

- a) identify, through the development plan and brownfield registers, land to accommodate at least 10% of their housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare; unless it can be shown, through the preparation of relevant plan policies, that there are strong reasons why this 10% target cannot be achieved;
- b) seek opportunities, through policies and decisions, to support small sites to come forward for community-led development for housing and self-build and custom build housing;
- c) use tools such as area-wide design assessments, permission in principle and Local Development Orders to help bring small and medium sized sites forward;
- d) support the development of windfall sites through their policies and decisions giving great weight to the benefits of using suitable sites within existing settlements for homes; and work with developers to encourage the subdivision of large sites where this could help to speed up the delivery of homes.

No implications.

| Paragraph 72: | Now paragraph 73: | |
|---|--|------------------|
| Local planning authorities should support the development of entry-level exception sites, suitable for first time buyers (or those looking to rent their first home), unless the need for such homes is already being met within the authority's area. These sites should be on land which is not already allocated for housing and should: a) comprise of entry-level homes that offer one or more types of affordable housing as defined in Annex 2 of this Framework; and b) be adjacent to existing settlements, proportionate in size to them ³⁵ , not compromise the protection given to areas or assets of particular importance in this Framework36, and comply with any local design policies and standards. | Local planning authorities should support the development of exception sites for community-led development ³⁶ (as defined in Annex 2) on sites that would not otherwise be suitable as rural exception sites. These sites should be on land which is not already allocated for housing and should: a) comprise community-led development that includes one or more types of affordable housing as defined in Annex 2 of this Framework. A proportion of market homes may be allowed on the site at the local planning authority's discretion, for example where essential to enable the delivery of affordable units without grant funding; and b) be adjacent to existing settlements, proportionate in size to them ³⁷ , not compromise the protection given to areas or assets of particular importance in this Framework ³⁸ , and comply with any local design policies and standards. | No implications. |
| Footnote 35: 35 Entry-level exception sites should not be larger than one hectare in size or exceed 5% of the size of the existing settlement. | New footnotes 36 and 37: 36 This exception site policy does not replace the First Homes exception policy set out in the Affordable Homes Update Written Ministerial Statement, dated 24 May 2021, which remains extant policy. 37 Community-led development exception sites should not be larger than one hectare in size or | No implications. |

| | evened EV of the size of the evicting settlement | |
|--|--|--|
| | exceed 5% of the size of the existing settlement. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Footnote 36: | Now footnote 38: | |
| ³⁶ i.e. the areas referred to in footnote 7. Entry- | ³⁸ i.e. the areas referred to in footnote 7. (see | No implications. |
| level exception sites should not be permitted in | deleted text) | No Implications. |
| National Parks (or within the Broads Authority), | deleted text) | |
| Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty or land | | |
| , | | |
| designated as Green Belt. | | |
| Paragraph 74 - 75: | Now paragraph 75 - 78: | |
| Turugi upir 7 T | Tron paragraphy 5 yer | |
| 74. Strategic policies should include a trajectory | 75. Strategic policies should include a trajectory | No implications since RBC meets the NPPF |
| illustrating the expected rate of housing | illustrating the expected rate of housing | requirements. |
| delivery over the plan period, and all plans | delivery over the plan period, and all plans | · |
| should consider whether it is appropriate to set | should consider whether it is appropriate to set | |
| out the anticipated rate of development for | out the anticipated rate of development for | |
| specific sites. Local planning authorities should | specific sites. Local planning authorities should | |
| identify and update annually a supply of specific | monitor their deliverable land supply against | |
| deliverable sites sufficient to provide a | their housing requirement, as set out in | |
| minimum of five years' worth of housing | adopted strategic policies. | |
| against their housing requirement set out in | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| adopted strategic policies38, or against their | 76. Local planning authorities are not required | |
| local housing need where the strategic policies | to identify and update annually a supply of | |
| are more than five years old ³⁹ . | specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide a | |
| , | minimum of five years' worth of housing for | |
| The supply of specific deliverable sites should in | decision making purposes if the following criteria | |
| addition include a buffer (moved forward from | are met ⁴⁰ : | |
| later in the plan period) of: | | |
| iater in the plan period/ on | | |

- a) 5% to ensure choice and competition in the market for land: or
- b) 10% where the local planning authority wishes to demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable sites through an annual position statement or recently adopted plan40, to account for any fluctuations in the market during that year; or
- c) 20% where there has been significant under delivery of housing over the previous three years, to improve the prospect of achieving the planned supply⁴¹.
- 75. A five year supply of deliverable housing sites, with the appropriate buffer, can be demonstrated where it has been established in a recently adopted plan, or in a subsequent annual position statement which:
- a) has been produced through engagement with developers and others who have an impact on delivery, and been considered by the Secretary of State: and
- b) incorporates the recommendation of the Secretary of State, where the position on specific sites could not be agreed during the engagement process.

- 77. Strategic policies should include a trajectory illustrating the expected rate of housing delivery over the plan period, and all plans should consider whether it is appropriate to set out the anticipated rate of development for specific sites. Local planning authorities should monitor their deliverable land supply against their housing requirement, as set out in adopted strategic policies.
- 78. Local planning authorities are not required to identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide a minimum of five years' worth of housing for decision making purposes if the following criteria are met⁴⁰:
- a) their adopted plan is less than five years old; and
- b) that adopted plan identified at least a five year supply of specific, deliverable sites at the time that its examination concluded.
- 77. In all other circumstances, local planning authorities should identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide either a minimum of five years' worth of housing⁴¹, or a minimum of four years' worth of housing if the provisions in paragraph 226 apply. The supply should be demonstrated against either the housing requirement set out in adopted strategic policies, or against the local housing need where the strategic policies are more than five years old⁴². Where there has

| been significant under delivery of housing over | |
|--|------------------|
| the previous three years ⁴³ , the supply of | |
| specific deliverable sites should in addition | |
| include a buffer of 20% (moved forward from | |
| later in the plan period). | |
| | |
| National planning guidance provides further | |
| information on calculating the housing land | |
| supply, including the circumstances in which | |
| past shortfalls or over-supply can be addressed. | |
| | |
| 78. Where the criteria in paragraph 76 are not | |
| met, a local planning authority may confirm the | |
| existence of a five-year supply of deliverable | |
| housing sites (with a 20% buffer if applicable) | |
| through an annual position statement which: | |
| a) has been produced through engagement | |
| with developers and others who have an impact | |
| on delivery, and been considered by the | |
| Secretary of State; and | |
| b) incorporates the recommendation of the | |
| Secretary of State, where the position on | |
| specific sites could not be agreed during the | |
| engagement process. | |
| New footnote 40: | |
| | |
| 40 Transitional provisions relating to the | No implications. |
| application of this paragraph are set out in | |
| footnote 79. | |
| | |
| | |

| Previous footnote 40: | Gone | No implications. |
|--|---|------------------|
| ⁴⁰ For the purposes of paragraphs 74b and 75 a plan adopted between 1 May and 31 October will be considered 'recently adopted' until 31 October of the following year; and a plan adopted between 1 November and 30 April will be considered recently adopted until 31 October in the same year. | | |
| Footnote 41: | Now footnote 43: | |
| 41This will be measured against the Housing Delivery Test, where this indicates that delivery was below 85% of the housing requirement. | 43 This will be measured against the Housing Delivery Test, where this indicates that delivery was below 85% of the housing requirement. For clarity, authorities that are not required to continually demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply should disregard this requirement. | No implications. |
| Paragraph 76: | Now paragraph 79: | |
| To maintain the supply of housing, local planning authorities should monitor progress in building out sites which have permission. Where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that delivery has fallen below 95% of the local planning authority's housing requirement over the previous three years, the authority should prepare an action plan in line with national planning guidance, to assess the causes of underdelivery and identify actions to increase delivery in future years. | To maintain the supply of housing, local planning authorities should monitor progress in building out sites which have permission. Where the Housing Delivery Test indicates that delivery has fallen below the local planning authority's housing requirement over the previous three years, the following policy consequences should apply: a) where delivery falls below 95% of the requirement over the previous three years, the authority should prepare an action plan to assess the causes of under-delivery and identify actions to increase delivery in future years; | No implications. |

| | b) where delivery falls below 85% of the requirement over the previous three years, the authority should include a buffer of 20% to their identified supply of specific deliverable sites as set out in paragraph 77 of this framework, in addition to the requirement for an action plan. c) where delivery falls below 75% of the requirement over the previous three years, the presumption in favour of sustainable development applies, as set out in footnote 8 of this Framework, in addition to the requirements for an action plan and 20% buffer. | |
|---|---|------------------|
| Development 70 | New paragraph 80: The Housing Delivery Test consequences set out above will apply the day following the annual publication of the Housing Delivery Test results, at which point they supersede previously published results. Until new Housing Delivery Test results are published, the previously published result should be used. | No implications. |
| Paragraph 78: In rural areas, planning policies and decisions should be responsive to local circumstances and support housing developments that reflect local needs. Local planning authorities should support opportunities to bring forward rural exception sites that will provide affordable housing to meet identified local needs, and consider whether allowing some market housing on these sites would help to facilitate this. | In rural areas, planning policies and decisions should be responsive to local circumstances and support housing developments that reflect local needs, including proposals for community-led development for housing. Local planning authorities should support opportunities to bring forward rural exception sites that will provide affordable housing to meet identified local needs, and consider whether allowing | No implications. |

| | some market housing on these sites would help to facilitate this. | |
|---|---|------------------|
| Paragraph 84: | Now paragraph 88: | |
| Planning policies and decisions should enable: a) the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business in rural areas, both through conversion of existing buildings and well-designed new buildings; b) the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based rural businesses; c) sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments which respect the character of the countryside; and the retention and development of accessible local services and community facilities, such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, open space, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship. Paragraph 92: | Planning policies and decisions should enable: a) the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business in rural areas, both through conversion of existing buildings and well-designed, beautiful new buildings; b) the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based rural businesses; c) sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments which respect the character of the countryside; and the retention and development of accessible local services and community facilities, such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, open space, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship New paragraph 96: | No implications. |
| Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which: a) promote social interaction, including opportunities for meetings between people who might not otherwise come into contact with each other – for example through mixed- | Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places and beautiful buildings which: a) promote social interaction, including opportunities for meetings between people who might not otherwise come into contact with each other – for example through mixed- | No implications. |

| use developments, strong neighbourhood centres, street layouts that allow for easy pedestrian and cycle connections within and between neighbourhoods, and active street frontages; b) are safe and accessible, so that crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion – for example through the use of attractive, well-designed, clear and legible pedestrian and cycle routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas; and c) enable and support healthy lifestyles, especially where this would address identified local health and well-being needs – for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, access to healthier food, allotments and layouts that encourage walking and cycling. | local health and well-being needs – for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, | |
|--|--|------------------|
| Paragraph 120: | Now paragraph 124: | |
| Planning policies and decisions should: a) encourage multiple benefits from both urban and rural land, including through mixed use schemes and taking opportunities to achieve net environmental gains – such as developments that would enable new habitat creation or improve public access to the countryside; b) recognise that some undeveloped land can perform many functions, such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, | Planning policies and decisions should: a) encourage multiple benefits from both urban and rural land, including through mixed use schemes and taking opportunities to achieve net environmental gains – such as developments that would enable new habitat creation or improve public access to the countryside; b) recognise that some undeveloped land can perform many functions, such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, | No implications. |

cooling/shading, carbon storage or food production;

c) give substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land;

d) promote and support the development of under-utilised land and buildings, especially if this would help to meet identified needs for housing where land supply is constrained and available sites could be used more effectively (for example converting space above shops, and building on or above service vards, car parks. lock-ups and railway infrastructure)48: and e) support opportunities to use the airspace above existing residential and commercial premises for new homes. In particular, they should allow upward extensions where the development would be consistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and the overall street scene, is welldesigned (including complying with any local design policies and standards), and can maintain safe access and egress for occupiers.

cooling/shading, carbon storage or food production;

c) give substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land:

d) promote and support the development of under-utilised land and buildings, especially if this would help to meet identified needs for housing where land supply is constrained and available sites could be used more effectively (for example converting space above shops, and building on or above service vards, car parks. lock-ups and railway infrastructure)50; and e) support opportunities to use the airspace above existing residential and commercial premises for new homes. In particular, they should allow upward extensions where the development would be consistent with the prevailing height and form of neighbouring properties and the overall street scene, is welldesigned (including complying with any local design policies and standards), and can maintain safe access and egress for occupiers. They should also allow mansard roof extensions on suitable properties51 where their external appearance harmonises with the original building, including extensions to terraces where one or more of the terraced houses already has a mansard. Where there was a tradition of mansard construction locally at the time of the building's construction, the extension should

| | emulate it with respect to external appearance. A condition of simultaneous development should not be imposed on an application for multiple mansard extensions unless there is an exceptional justification. New footnote 51 (relating to paragraph 124): | |
|--|--|------------------|
| | 51 See glossary for further details. | |
| Paragraph 124: | Now paragraph 128: | |
| Planning policies and decisions should support development that makes efficient use of land, taking into account: a) the identified need for different types of housing and other forms of development, and the availability of land suitable for accommodating it; b) local market conditions and viability; c) the availability and capacity of infrastructure and services – both existing and proposed – as well as their potential for further improvement and the scope to promote sustainable travel modes that limit future car use; d) the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change; and the importance of securing well-designed, attractive and healthy places. | Planning policies and decisions should support development that makes efficient use of land, taking into account: a) the identified need for different types of housing and other forms of development, and the availability of land suitable for accommodating it; b) local market conditions and viability; c) the availability and capacity of infrastructure and services – both existing and proposed – as well as their potential for further improvement and the scope to promote sustainable travel modes that limit future car use; d) the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change; and the importance of securing well-designed and beautiful, attractive and healthy places. | No implications. |

| | New paragraph 130: | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | In applying paragraphs 129a and b above to existing urban areas, significant uplifts in the average density of residential development may be inappropriate if the resulting built form would be wholly out of character with the existing area. Such circumstances should be evidenced through an authority-wide design code which is adopted or will be adopted as part of the development plan. | No implications. |
| Paragraph 133: | Now paragraph 138: | |
| Local planning authorities should ensure that they have access to, and make appropriate use of, tools and processes for assessing and improving the design of development. These include workshops to engage the local community, design advice and review arrangements, and assessment frameworks such as Building for a Healthy Life51. These are of most benefit if used as early as possible in the evolution of schemes, and are particularly important for significant projects such as large scale housing and mixed use developments. In assessing applications, local planning authorities should have regard to the outcome from these processes, including any recommendations made by design review panels. | Local planning authorities should ensure that they have access to, and make appropriate use of, tools and processes for assessing and improving the design of development. The primary means of doing so should be through the preparation and use of local design codes, in line with the National Model Design Code. For assessing proposals there is a range of tools including workshops to engage the local community, design advice and review arrangements, and assessment frameworks such as Building for a Healthy Life54. These are of most benefit if used as early as possible in the evolution of schemes, and are particularly important for significant projects such as large scale housing and mixed use developments. In assessing applications, local planning authorities should have regard to the outcome from these processes, including any | No implications. |

Paragraph 140:

Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered where exceptional circumstances are fully evidenced and justified, through the preparation or updating of plans. Strategic policies should establish the need for any changes to Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so they can endure beyond the plan period. Where a need for changes to Green Belt boundaries has been established through strategic policies, detailed amendments to those boundaries may be made through non-strategic policies, including neighbourhood plans.

Now paragraph 145:

Once established, there is no requirement for Green Belt houndaries to be reviewed or changed when plans are being prepared or updated. Authorities may choose to review and alter Green Belt boundaries where exceptional circumstances are fully evidenced and justified, in which case proposals for changes should be made only through the plan-making process. Strategic policies should establish the need for any changes to Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so they can endure beyond the plan period. Where a need for changes to Green Belt boundaries has been established through strategic policies, detailed amendments to those boundaries may be made through nonstrategic policies, including neighbourhood plans.

No implications although this strengthens the importance of reviews to the Green Belt boundary being made, where appropriate, through the local plan process. The appellants case made reference to elements of the appeal scheme forming appropriate new boundaries to the Green Belt.

| Footnote 58 (relating to paragraph 175): Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality. | Now footnote 62 (relating to now paragraph 181): Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality. The availability of agricultural land used for food production should be considered, alongside the other policies in this Framework, when deciding what sites are most appropriate for development. | No implications. |
|--|--|------------------|
| Footnote 58 (relating to paragraph 175): Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality. | Now footnote 62 (relating to now paragraph 181): Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality. The availability of agricultural land used for food production should be considered, alongside the other policies in this Framework, when deciding what sites are most appropriate for development. | No implications. |
| | New footnote 79 relating to now paragraph 224: As an exception to this, the policy contained in paragraph 76 and the related reference in footnote 8 of this Framework should only be taken into account as a material consideration when dealing with applications made on or after the date of publication of this version of the Framework. | No implications. |

New paragraph 226:

From the date of publication of this revision of the Framework, for decision-making purposes only, certain local planning authorities will only be required to identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide a minimum of four years' worth of housing (with a buffer, if applicable, as set out in paragraph 77) against the housing requirement set out in adopted strategic policies, or against local housing need where the strategic policies are more than five years old80, instead of a minimum of five years as set out in paragraph 77 of this Framework. This policy applies to those authorities which have an emerging local plan that has either been submitted for examination or has reached Regulation 18 or Regulation 19 (Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) stage, including both a policies map and proposed allocations towards meeting housing need. This provision does not apply to authorities who are not required to demonstrate a housing land supply, as set out in paragraph 76. These arrangements will apply for a period of two years from the publication date of this revision of the Framework.

No implications.

| | New footnote 80 relating to new paragraph 226: Unless these strategic policies have been reviewed and found not to require updating. Where local housing need is used as the basis for assessing whether a four year supply of specific deliverable sites exists, it should be calculated using the standard method set out in national planning guidance. | No implications. |
|--|--|------------------|
| Paragraph 222: | Now paragraph 229: | |
| For the purposes of the policy on renewable and low carbon energy and heat in plans in paragraph 155, these policies apply only to plans that have not reached Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (pre-submission) stage, or that reach this stage within three months, of the publication of this version. For Spatial Development Strategies, this applies to plans that have not reached consultation under section 335(2) of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, or are within three months of reaching this stage. For all other plans, the policy contained in the corresponding paragraph in the National Planning Policy Framework published in July 2021 will apply. | For the purposes of the policy on renewable and low carbon energy and heat in plans in paragraph 160, this policy does not apply to plans that have reached Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (pre-submission) stage, or that reach this stage within three months of the date of publication of the previous version of this Framework published on 5 September 2023. For Spatial Development Strategies, paragraph 160 does not apply to strategies that have reached consultation under section 335(2) of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 or that reach this stage within three months of the date of publication of the previous version of this Framework published on 5 September 2023. | No implications. |

| Paragraph 223: | Gone | |
|---|--|------------------|
| The Housing Delivery Test will apply the day following publication of the results, at which point they supersede previously published results. Until new Housing Delivery Test results are published, the previously published result should be used. For the purpose of footnote 8 in this Framework, delivery of housing which was substantially below the housing requirement means where the Housing Delivery Test results: | | No implications. |
| a) for years 2016/17 to 2018/19 (Housing Delivery Test: 2019 Measurement, published 13 February 2020), indicated that delivery was below 45% of housing required over the previous three years; b) for years 2017/18 to 2019/20 (Housing Delivery Test: 2020 Measurement, published 19 January 2021), and in subsequent years indicate that delivery was below 75% of housing required over the previous three years. | | |
| | New paragraph 230: The policies in this Framework (published on 19 December 2023) will apply for the purpose of examining plans, where those plans reach regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (presubmission) stage after 19 March 2024. Plans that reach pre-submission consultation on or before this date will be examined under the relevant previous version of the Framework in | No implications. |

accordance with the above arrangements. For Spatial Development Strategies, this Framework applies to strategies that have reached consultation under section 335(2) of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 after 19 March 2024. Strategies that reach this stage on or before this date will be examined under the relevant previous version of the Framework in accordance with the above arrangements. Where plans or strategies are withdrawn or otherwise do not proceed to become part of the development plan, the policies contained in this Framework will apply to any subsequent plan or strategy produced for the area concerned. Glossary – new definition Community-led developments: A development No implications. instigated and taken forward by a not for-profit organisation set up and run primarily for the purpose of meeting the housing needs of its members and the wider local community, rather than being a primarily commercial enterprise. The organisation is created, managed and democratically controlled by its members. It may take any one of various legal forms including a community land trust, housing co-operative and community benefit society. Membership of the organisation is open to all beneficiaries and prospective beneficiaries of that organisation. The organisation should own, manage or steward the homes in a manner consistent with its purpose, for example through a mutually

| | supported arrangement with a Registered Provider of Social Housing. The benefits of the development to the specified community should be clearly defined and consideration given to how these benefits can be protected over time, including in the event of the organisation being wound up. | |
|---|---|------------------|
| Glossary – definition of entry-level exception site Entry-level exception site: A site that provides | Gone. | |
| entry-level homes suitable for first time buyers (or equivalent, for those looking to rent), in line with paragraph 72 of this Framework. | | |
| Glossary – definition of Housing Delivery Test | Glossary – definition of Housing Delivery Test | |
| Housing Delivery Test: Measures net homes delivered in a local authority area against the homes required, using national statistics and local authority data. The Secretary of State will publish the Housing Delivery Test results for each local authority in England every November. | Housing Delivery Test: Measures net homes delivered in a local authority area against the homes required, using national statistics and local authority data. The Secretary of State will publish the Housing Delivery Test results for each local authority in England annually. | No implications. |
| | Glossary – new definition | |
| | Mansard roof: A type of roof that is characterised by two slopes, the lower steep and the upper shallow. It is generally regarded as a suitable type of roof extension for buildings which are part of a terrace of at least three buildings and at least two stories tall, with a parapet running the entire length of the front façade (reference: Create Streets, 2021, Living | No implications. |

| Tradition). | |
|-------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |