you wis h to be kep t info rme d of fut ure sta ges of this doc um ent ?	joining the Council 's Develo pment Strateg	(Name)	Organisa tion (Organis ation)	(Address)		Email (Email)	Telephone (Telephone)			(Name1)	Organisat ion (Organisa tion1)	(Addres s1)		Email (Email1)	Telephone (Telephone1)	(Date1)	Do you suppor t, object or have comme nts?
Yes		nt Villages	Villages Ltd	C/o Tetlow King Planning, Unit 2, Eclipse Office Park, High Street, Staple Hill, Bristol,	BS16 5EL			17/11/2022	Yes		King Planning	Unit 2, Eclipse Office Park, High Street, Staple Hill, Bristol,	BS165EL			17/11/2022	Object

Please give details to explain why you support, object or have comments	Signature	Signature	Please	Status	Modified Date	Author
on the draft Community Infrastruture Levy draft charging schedule or	_	(Signatur	give			
Planning Obligations SPD. Please state the paragraph/section you wish to	e)	e1)	details to			
comment on.			explain			
			why you			
			support,			
			object or			
			have			
			comment			
			s on the			
			draft			
			Climate			
			Change			
			SPD 2022. Please			
			state the			
			paragrap			
			h/section			
			you wish			
			to			
			comment			
			on.			
					47/44/20224054	
My client's objection purely relates to page 7, CIL Rates as in Table 1. This is				Approved	17/11/2022 18:54	
due to two points and the language used in that table. Overall, this is related to the Planning Practice Guidance as set out in Paragraph: 010						
Reference ID: 63-010-20190626 in the section called Housing for older and						
disabled people. In relation to this section there is a lack of precision in the						
language and definitions that should be rectified. This is a document that						
will be used in appeals, application and possibly court cases. The legislation						
is complex, and precision is necessary/essential: 1. In the aforesaid table						
the first two entries reference 11 and 10 units. It should say C3 dwellings or						
C3 units if that is what it means It is open to interpretation what units						
mean. It is unacceptable in a planning document not to use planning						
designations of use. There should not be ambiguity. Does it mean 11 or						
more care home beds, 11 or more hotel beds or any other individual unit in						
a planning use that could called "residentialâ€; 2. It is noted that in						
footnote 2 that sheltered is excluded but what about C2 extra care or						
assisted living, close care in that C2 category. It does not exclude care						
homes. There is no definition of sheltered in the document thus what does						
it mean. The exclusion should say the following "Retirement living or						
sheltered housing; Extra care housing or housing-with-care and Residential						
care homes and nursing homes as defined by Paragraph: 010 Reference ID:						
63-010-20190626 of the Planning Practice Guidanceâ€. If changes are made						
like this or having the same impact, then we would have no objections.						