

Our ref: PL – 00794393 & PL-00794397 Your ref:



15 May 2025

FAO: Development Strategy Team localplan@rugby.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Rugby Borough Local Plan – Preferred Option Consultation, March 2025 and Interim Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report March 2025

Thank you for consulting Historic England on the Rugby Borough Local Plan Preferred Options and accompanying Sustainability Appraisal Report. As the Government's adviser on the historic environment, Historic England is keen to ensure that the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment is fully considered at all stages and levels of the Local Plan.

We note that this consultation follows the Issues and Options Local Plan consultation, published in October 2023. In relation to this **Preferred Options** consultation, we have the following comments:

General Comments

The NPPF is clear that Plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment (para.203), to ensure that growth options and site allocations avoid harming the significance of both designated and non-designated heritage assets, including effects on their setting. There may also be opportunities to enhance or better reveal the significance of heritage assets (NPPF para. 219), or opportunities to tackle heritage at risk through sensitive development.

Historic England considers that a good Local Plan will offer a positive holistic approach to heritage throughout the whole plan, whereby the historic environment is considered not just as a stand-alone topic, but as an integral part of every aspect of the plan and







should be interwoven within the entire document. Therefore, we are pleased to see that the historic environment is positively focused within the Preferred Options document, as it is proposed to be included within several topics, such as Climate, Centres, Environment and Design, as well as within a focussed policy on the historic environment and that some of our comments made at the Issues and Options stage have been taken on board.

We also welcome the recent evidence in relation to heritage that has been produced to inform the Preferred Options, namely: the 'Heritage assessment of local plan site allocations for Rubgy Borough Council', March 2025 and Addendum, April 2025. We are pleased to see that this assessment has followed the 'Site Selection Methodology' as set out in Historic England's Advice Note 3: The Historic Environment and Site Allocations in Local Plans, 2015 (HEAN3).

Likewise, we welcome that the historic environment is a key consideration in the Council's 'Landscape Character Assessment', January 2025 and that designated heritage assets and cultural heritage features form part of the assessment criteria in the Council's 'Landscape Sensitivity Assessment of Strategic Site Options', March 2025.

We are also pleased to see that all of the above assessments have been utilised to inform the 'Rugby Borough Stage 2 Site Options Assessment', March 2025, and thus heritage considerations have been integral to the site selection process of the Local Plan.

Specific Comments

Objectives

Historic England suggests that the Objectives of the Local Plan should also encompass the conservation and enhancement of historic environment.

Strategy

Policy S6 Residential Allocations and Policy S7 Employment Allocations - our tabulated comments on individual site allocations are contained in the attached **Appendix A**. These and your own assessments, highlight a number of designated and non-designated heritage assets that may be affected by the proposed allocations, and we have set out relevant recommendations in relation to further assessments and/or suggested policy improvements.

Climate

Policy CL1 Net Zero Buildings - Historic England considers that the historic built environment has a significant role to play in achieving Net Zero, and therefore also should be considered as part of any climate change policies. The policy as written requires new builds to be 'net zero' but fails to mention the importance of the role of the current building stock in diminishing carbon emissions.







Historic buildings represent an investment of embodied carbon and other resources and demolishing and replacing them requires a significant reinvestment of both energy and the resources required. Retrofitting and restoring the existing building stock has a much lower carbon output and will also contribute to lower carbon emissions over the course of a building's lifespan. The Local Plan should therefore encourage and recognise the benefits of sympathetic restoration, retention, refurbishment and retrofitting of historic buildings and reference should also be made to the importance of regular building maintenance, as the benefits of caring for and re-using historic buildings can lead to energy savings and a reduction in carbon emissions.

Policy CL2 Renewable energy and low carbon technology –

Historic England notes that paragraph 2.5 requires renewable energy development proposals to be considered against other relevant policies of the local plan, including Policy D4 (Historic Environment). However, we consider that the impact on heritage and landscape character should be included as specific policy criteria against which schemes for large-scale energy generation and storage will be considered.

We note that the Policies Map will show suitable areas for wind turbine development, but that such a map could not be found anywhere within the Preferred Options document. If further work is to be undertaken on this issue, then to ensure that the historic environment is fully considered, we advise undertaking the process of the 'Site Selection Methodology' as set out in Historic England's Advice Note 3: *The Historic Environment and Site Allocations in Local Plans*, 2015 (HEAN3), to ensure that the plan is positively prepared.

We would also direct you to our guidance on commercial renewable energy: Historic England Advice Note 15 (February 2021) 'Commercial Renewable Energy Development and the Historic Environment':

https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/commercial-renewable-energy-development-historic-environment-advice-note-15/heag302-commercial-renewable-energy-development-historic-environment/

Policy CL4 Climate Adaptation –

We note that paragraph 2.10 refers specifically to 'new development', and we suggest that the policy is broadened to encompass reducing energy consumption in existing buildings, as this is a key factor in achieving net zero.

Historic England has a wealth of published guidance in relation to the historic environment and energy and carbon efficiency. In particular we refer you to the following:

our recently published Historic England Advice Note 18 (July 2024) 'Adapting Historic Buildings for Energy and Carbon Efficiency':







https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/adapting-historic-buildings-energy-carbon-efficiency-advice-note-18/

and we would also recommend reference to:

https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/technical-advice/retrofit-and-energy-efficiency-in-historic-buildings/

Centres

Historic England considers that the historic built environment should play an active role when considering town centre regeneration, and regeneration more broadly. Rugby Borough has many listed buildings, including several within the identified town and local centres.

Policy C1 Rugby Town Centre – we welcome that the enhancement of heritage buildings is included within the policy as a placemaking principle. However, we suggest that it would be useful to show these heritage buildings/assets on the accompanying plan on page 30.

Environment

Policy EN2 Landscape Protection – we welcome the policy position with reference to the setting of a heritage asset within clause C and we suggest that paragraph 5.6 could include heritage assets as an example of character. Therefore, we suggest the following amendment to the wording of this paragraph:

'These elements could include for example habitats (woodland etc), trees and veteran trees, hedgerows and heritage assets (e.g. ridge and furrow) that combine to provide a distinctive character that is the product of the interaction between human activity and the natural environment'.

<u>Design</u>

Policy D1 Well-designed places - the NPPF is clear that a strategic design policy should be grounded in an understanding and evaluation of each area's defining characteristics (paragraph 132). Historic England therefore considers that this policy could be made clearer with regard to local character, the historic built environment, and landscape setting considerations for development.

Historic England supports the use of Design Codes and Design Guides to inform development and ensure that it is responsive to its setting. Information on good practice for design and on Historic England's role in encouraging and facilitating new development which is based on an understanding of each site's unique history, character and context, can be found at:

https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/planning/design-in-the-historic-environment/







Policy D4 Historic Environment - we suggest that the policy makes clear that it refers to both designated and non-designated heritage assets. We also suggest that clauses A and B should be amended to refer to the setting of a heritage asset, such that the first sentence of clause C is amended to add after heritage asset ', including any contribution made by its setting,' to better reflect the guidance of the NPPF.

We note that clause C requires 'historic building reports' and we suggest amending this to 'Heritage Impact Assessments', which have a broader scope and would encompass the host building it's setting, as well as other buildings/assets and their setting also.

We also suggest that paragraph 8.10 could be re-worded as '...heritage asset, including any contribution made by its setting, in the development process', to better reflect the guidance of the NPPF.

Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Interim Report

Historic England welcomes that the historic environment has been incorporated as part of the Sustainability Appraisal process and is pleased to see consideration of different heritage assets, including heritage at risk, within the Borough.

We also welcome that the Council's evidence base in relation to heritage, namely the 'Heritage assessment of local plan site allocations for Rubgy Borough Council', March 2025 and Addendum, April 2025, have been utilised in the assessment of likely impacts on the historic environment.

Historic England welcomes the inclusion of the SA objective of protecting and enhancing the historic environment and we are pleased to see that the various growth scenarios have been assessed in relation to impacts on the historic environment and also in relation to impacts on landscape and townscape character.

With regard to the 'Draft plan appraisal' we would agree with the assessment of proposed site allocations 337 and 129 being sensitive in relation to the historic environment and that for sites 315 and 316 a site-specific policy should be considered (as the plan proposes) and we refer you to our specific comments on these sites in the attached **Appendix A**.

To assist your preparation of the SA in relation to the assessment of effect upon the historic environment we refer you to Historic England's Advice Note 8: Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment, 2016 (HEAN8):

<u>Historic England Advice Note 8: Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment</u>







Historic England would be happy to provide further comments as the Rugby Borough Local Plan review is progressed over the coming months. We should like to stress that the above opinion is based on the information provided by the Council in their consultation. To avoid any doubt, this does not affect our obligation to provide further advice and, potentially, object to specific proposals, which may subsequently arise (either as a result of this consultation, or in later versions of the plan/guidance) where we consider that these would have an adverse impact upon the historic environment.

We hope that the above comments will assist, but if you have any queries about any of the matters raised or consider that a meeting would be helpful, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully







APPENDIX A - Rugby Borough Local Plan Preferred Options (Regulation 18) - Proposed Site Allocations

Site ID & name	Historic England (HE) comments
Use & estimated housing capacity	
337	HE notes 'Development requirements' include restoration and re-purposing of the historic agricultural buildings. HE welcomes this approach as we consider that the retention of the Listed Buildings and
West Farm & Home Farm,	curtilage listed barns is key here, as they make a positive contribution to the significance of the Listed
Brinklow	Buildings and the Brinklow Conservation Area. Development should also enhance the setting of the
Residential – 75 dwellings	Grade II* Church of St. John the Baptist and the Conservation Area and to respond to the grain of the historic development within the site.
	We also note that the site includes some ridge and furrow in the east part of the site and an embankment for the Old Oxford Canal with a section still in water just to the north of the proposal site. The ridge and furrow is part of the setting of Brinklow Castle preserving evidence of the medieval open field system. We welcome the inclusion of the better preserved part of the ridge and furrow in the green space and this should be excluded from construction traffic, storage or use during construction and landscaping operations to ensure its preservation. We recommend that the views of the County Council archaeological advisor are sought, in particular in relation to the conservation of the Old Oxford Canal embankment which should be conserved in any forthcoming scheme to ensure the section of waterfilled canal is retained.
	We note that this site has been reviewed as part of the 'Heritage Assessment of local plan site allocations for Rubgy Borough Council', 2025 and we support the recommendations for 'Avoiding harm and maximising enhancements' listed. HE recommends that these are carried through into the 'Development requirements' of the site specific policy (particularly in relation to the preservation of the historic earthworks, including the ridge and furrow and canal embankment) and additionally we suggest that a full planning application, rather than an outline, be required for this site.







315 Land south of Rugby Road, Brinklow	HE notes that the eastern part of the site contains well preserved ridge and furrow; part of the medieval open field system associated with Brinklow Castle and its medieval settlement. It contributes to the significance of both the Castle and the settlement (Brinklow Conservation Area) and we recommend that this should be preserved in any forthcoming scheme within the green space. We suggest that this
	should be added to 'Development requirements' of the site specific policy.
Residential – 340 dwellings	
129	HE notes that the site lies close to the Clifton Upon Dunsmore Conservation Area and within close proximity to Clifton Manor and The Old Hall, both Grade II listed buildings.
North of Lilbourne Road,	
Clifton upon Dunsmore	We note that this site has been reviewed as part of the 'Heritage Assessment of local plan site allocations for Rubgy Borough Council', 2025 and we support the recommendations for 'Avoiding harm
Residential – 60 dwellings	and maximising enhancements' listed. HE recommends that all five of these are carried through into the 'Development requirements' of the site specific policy in order to ensure that development of this sensitive site is responsive to the historic environment.
202	HE - No concerns
Newton Road, Clifton upon Dunsmore	
80 dwellings	
307	HE - No concerns
North Road, Clifton upon Dunsmore	
10 dwellings	







341	HE - No concerns
Land south of Coventry	
Road, Dunchurch	
rtoud, Dunonaron	
180 dwellings	
90	HE - No concerns
Homestead Farm, Coventry	
Road, Dunchurch	
30 dwellings	
316	HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site the top NW corner of site
	wraps around Grade II Avon Lodge.
Land at Long Lawford	
400 dwellings	We note that this site has been reviewed as part of the 'Heritage Assessment of local plan site allocations for Rubgy Borough Council', 2025 and we agree with the comments that whilst mitigation is strongly encouraged, it is unlikely that harm to the setting of the Listed Building can be wholly avoided and that development should be informed by a Heritage Assessment of the 19 th century working buildings, prior to the determination of a planning application. We also support the recommendations for 'Avoiding harm and maximising enhancements' listed. HE recommends that all four of these are carried through into the 'Development requirements' of the site specific policy in order to ensure that development is responsive to the historic environment, particularly the provision of open space to create a buffer to the rear of the farmhouse and working buildings, as part of the mitigation measures.
75	HE - No concerns
Lea Crescent, Newbold on Avon 20 dwellings	







87	HE - No concerns
Hill Crest Farm, Newton	
25 dwellings	
25 dwellings	
62	HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site the Rugby Conservation
	Area lies to the South & West, containing many Listed Buildings, including the Grade II* Church of St.
Morgan Sindall House, Rugby Town Centre	Andrew.
	HE raises concerns regarding the potential impact of re-development on the setting of the church and
90 dwellings	Conservation Area, especially if the existing building is demolished and a taller building developed here. We note that the 'Development requirements' include the possible re-use of parts of the existing building
	and this is supported. A Heritage Assessment should also be required prior to determination of any
	planning application and a Certificate of Immunity would confirm the significance of this 1960s brutalist
	building and establish parameters of development.
332	HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site nos. 68 and 70 Albert Street,
	located immediately north east of the garage which abuts site to north east, are Grade II Listed Buildings.
Former Rugby Borough	
Council Depot, Albert	We note that the 'Development requirements' include possible building heights of five storeys towards
Street, Rugby	the eastern end of the site, which would be right against the Listed Buildings and suggest that five storey
	building heights would be better located at the western end of the site, where there are a few existing
25 dwellings	five storey buildings in close proximity.
122	HE - No concerns
Land at Fenley Fields, Old	
Laurentian Rugby Club,	
Cawston	
Cawston	
80 dwellings	
- Ala	Historia England, Midlanda Dagiana Oraya, The Founday, 92 Cranvilla Street Birmingham







40	HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site, the Grade II Wharf
Land east of Kilsby Lane, Hilmorton, Rugby	Farmhouse & Grand Union & Oxford Canals Conservation Area lie to south. We consider that the setting of canal CA is particularly important as a boundary is shared with the canal. The towpath along the canal is very rural and a good relationship between the canal and development should be encouraged.
125 dwellings	HE notes that the 'Development requirements' include that development should come forward in accordance with a masterplan which is produced in consultation with the Canal & River Trust and we are supportive of this approach.
	We also suggest that a connection between the canal CA and the Hillmorton Locks CA should be considered when any review of these CAs are undertaken in the future.
334	HE - No concerns
Land off Barby Lane, Hillmorton, Rugby	
380 dwellings	
59	HE - No concerns
Newton Manor Lane, Brownsover	
240 dwellings	
338	HE - No concerns
Land south of Crick Road, Houlton	
250 dwellings	Website Fooderd Miller de Bosines Court The Fooder 20 Courtille Obert Birminghous







HE - No concerns
HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within/near the site the projected course of the Roman Road (Fosse Way) which linked the Roman towns of Corinium (Cirencester) and Venonis (High Cross) runs through the site. HE recommends that any forthcoming proposal should identify its survival and any associated Roman archaeology at an early stage and seek to preserve it in proposal in accordance with local and national policy. Your County archaeological advisor will be able to advise you further.
HE - No concerns







39	HE - No concerns
Dyers Lane, Wolston	
15 dwellings	
84 South of Leicester Road, Wolvey	HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site the Grade II* Church of St. John the Baptist lies to south-west and there is the potential for substantial harm to the setting of the church. We also consider that the connectivity between the proposed site and the town is key and should be well thought through.
60 dwellings	HE recommends that a Heritage Impact Assessment should be undertaken prior to allocation and that the findings of this should inform the development requirements of a site specific policy.
96	HE - No concerns
Land south of Coventry Road, Wolvey	
500 dwellings	
309	HE - No concerns
Land north of B4109, Wolvey	
150 dwellings	Winterio Septembrillo Designa Const. The Septembrillo Const. illustrate District Const.







HE - No concerns
HE - No concerns
HE - No concerns
HE - No concerns







328

Prologis Park West and Mountpark, Ryton-on-Dunsmore

350,000m² employment floorspace

HE notes that whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site there is the Scheduled Monument (SM) Pit alignments N of Bubbenhall village to south-west and Bubbenhall Conservation Area containing a number of GII Listed Buildings further to the south.

Although the pit alignment is suggested as east-west in alignment, there can be multiple pit alignments, and these features can be long (sometimes a few kilometres long). We therefore **recommend** a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) and geophysical survey are undertaken prior to allocation to inform conservation requirements.

We also **recommend** that a HIA and a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) are undertaken in relation to the impact on the Conservation Area and Listed Buildings prior to allocation.

The findings of these studies should then inform the development requirements of a site specific policy.



