

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, May 15, 2025 12:28:12 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, May 15, 2025 12:34:57 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:45
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Introduction

Q1 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the selection of these objectives?

Q2

What are the reasons for your answer to question 1?

Disagree objectives should also include reference to supporting the delivery of housing to meet all of the District's objectively assessed needs through a dispersed pattern of growth across the District.

Page 2: Strategy for homes and residential allocations (policies S2 and S6)

Q3 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the more dispersed overall spatial strategy for new homes?

Q4

What are the reasons for your answer to question 3 and if you disagree with the proposed spatial strategy what alternative should we pursue?

Agree - existing settlements should be able to grow, with new residents supporting the retention of shops, schools and other services. Smaller sites can deliver much needed new homes more quickly, including affordable housing, and will mitigate their impacts on infrastructure through on-site works and off-site financial contributions, where fully justified.

Q5

Is there a site that is proposed to be allocated for housing in policy S6 that you do not support? If so, which site and why?

The principle of new housing in Brinklow, identified as a Main Rural Settlement, is supported (policy S1). This housing should be provided through a number of smaller developments, more commensurate with the character and size of Brinklow. Councils need a range in the scale of development sites allocated to enable steady delivery of housing through the plan period. Smaller sites can provide housing within the first five years, whilst larger sites are being prepared for development to be delivered later in the plan period.

Land west of Lutterworth Road, Brinklow (submitted into the call for sites February 2024) fits this approach and should be included in the site allocations listed in policy S6. This site has already been assessed as suitable for development (it is an allocated site in the Brinklow NDP for 12 affordable houses and up to 7 elderly persons dwellings).

This site can reasonably be considered now as a grey belt site within a sustainable location. There is a developer on board and the site could be delivered in the short-term. This site is suitable for an open market housing development of up to 20 dwellings (including policy compliant affordable housing provision) and should be allocated as such in policy S6.

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

The development sites annex lists development requirements for the allocated sites. Are there additional or different requirements we should be seeking? Please specify which site you are referring to.

Page 3: Strategy for employment land and employment allocations (policies S3 and S7)

Q7

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the strategy for employment land?

Q8

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 7? If you disagree, what alternative location(s) would be better and why?

Page 4: Gypsy and Traveller sites (policy S4)

Q9

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with policy S4?

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 9?

Page 5: Climate

Q11

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the approach to reducing emissions and adapting to the effects of climate change?

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 11?

Page 6: Economy

Q13

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's economic policies?

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 13?

Page 7: Centres

Q15

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the policies for retail centres?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 15?

Page 8: Environment

Q17

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's environmental policies?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 17?

Page 9: Housing

Q19

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's housing policies?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 19?

Page 10: Wellbeing

Q21

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's wellbeing policies?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 21?

Page 11: Design

Q23

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's design policies?

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 23?

Page 12: Infrastructure

Q25

No Answer

To what extent do you agree with the document's infrastructure policies?

Q26

Respondent skipped this question

What are the reasons for your answer to question 25?

Page 13: Any Other Feedback

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If there are any other comments you wish to make regarding the consultation document which you have not already given in your preceding answers, please enter them here:

Page 14: About you

Q28

What is your name?

[REDACTED]

Q29

What organisation are you representing, if applicable?

[REDACTED]

Q30

No

Are you a resident of Rugby Borough?

Q31

Your contact email

[REDACTED]

Q32

Your contact address

[REDACTED]

Q33

Your Postcode

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
