

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 16, 2025 4:01:13 PM
Last Modified: Friday, May 16, 2025 4:37:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:35:51
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Introduction

Q1 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the selection of these objectives?

Q2

What are the reasons for your answer to question 1?

No comment

Page 2: Strategy for homes and residential allocations (policies S2 and S6)

Q3 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the more dispersed overall spatial strategy for new homes?

Q4

What are the reasons for your answer to question 3 and if you disagree with the proposed spatial strategy what alternative should we pursue?

Urban extensions with limited sustainable village sites would be the best strategy. Urban extensions do not need to be new settlements.

Q5

Is there a site that is proposed to be allocated for housing in policy S6 that you do not support? If so, which site and why?

Lodge Farm would be wholly unsustainable. Its neither accessible by alternative modes of transport and not big enough to become self sufficient and sustainable like Houlton.

Q6

The development sites annex lists development requirements for the allocated sites. Are there additional or different requirements we should be seeking? Please specify which site you are referring to.

No comment

Page 3: Strategy for employment land and employment allocations (policies S3 and S7)

Q7 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the strategy for employment land?

Q8

What are the reasons for your answer to question 7? If you disagree, what alternative location(s) would be better and why?

no comment

Page 4: Gypsy and Traveller sites (policy S4)

Q9 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with policy S4?

Q10

What are the reasons for your answer to question 9?

no comment

Page 5: Climate

Q11 **Neither Agree nor Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the approach to reducing emissions and adapting to the effects of climate change?

Q12

What are the reasons for your answer to question 11?

Is this not duplicating building control (CL3, CL4) ?

Page 6: Economy

Q13 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the document's economic policies?

Q14

What are the reasons for your answer to question 13?

no comment

Page 7: Centres

Q15

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the policies for retail centres?

Q16

What are the reasons for your answer to question 15?

Agree, however more needs to be done in respect of the town centre. High Street retail has effectively gone due to online retail, so RBC needs to be looking at 24 hour communities with flats, leisure, food offer, convenience stores, entertainment etc. A strategy is needed with attainable goals and an implementation plan setting out how to achieve them.

Page 8: Environment

Q17

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the document's environmental policies?

Q18

What are the reasons for your answer to question 17?

However, RBC needs to be careful (as does the government) with BNG. It is far too complicated and a bottleneck in the planning system. On non mandatory BNG sites, i.e. exempt ones RBC could ease the bottleneck by taking a professional view. E.g. Of course 10%+ BNG will be achieved on a brownfield site through the landscaping scheme. A BNG report and sustainability matrix should not be required to demonstrate what should be obvious. There aren't enough ecologists so a more practical stance needs to be taken.

Page 9: Housing

Q19

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with the document's housing policies?

Q20

What are the reasons for your answer to question 19?

Policy H1 cannot be achieved on small brownfield sites so the policy needs to be changed in this respect. It is also not monitored so becomes a pointless policy. It should be amended to apply to large urban extensions and major greenfield sites only. The issue with affordable housing is that RSLs do not want small S.106 sites so this needs to be investigated and resolved as otherwise the policy will prevent the smaller sites i.e. less than 50 units coming forward. National Space standards are strict enough. Private outdoor space standards could prevent urban regeneration/brownfield sites depending on the standard. Look at Luton Council for realistic outdoor space standards. Housing for older people will not come forward unless you allocate it. There is none allocated and none came forward at Houlton, Cawston etc. etc.

Page 10: Wellbeing

Q21 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the document's wellbeing policies?

Q22

What are the reasons for your answer to question 21?

Agree, except not possible to provide open space on sites for flats on urban brownfield/regeneration sites.

Page 11: Design

Q23 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the document's design policies?

Q24

What are the reasons for your answer to question 23?

No comment

Page 12: Infrastructure

Q25 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the document's infrastructure policies?

Q26

What are the reasons for your answer to question 25?

Agree, however, zero car parking needs to continue to be acceptable within the highly accessible urban areas.

Page 13: Any Other Feedback

Q27

If there are any other comments you wish to make regarding the consultation document which you have not already given in your preceding answers, please enter them here:

Will email

Page 14: About you

Q28

What is your name?

██████████

Q29

What organisation are you representing, if applicable?

██

Q30

No

Are you a resident of Rugby Borough?

Q31

Your contact email

██

Q32

Your contact address

██

Q33

Your Postcode

██████████

Q34

██

What is your Gender Identity?

Q35

██████████

Age

Q36

████████████████████

Ethnicity

Q37

██

Do you consider yourself to be disabled?

Q38

██

What is your sexual orientation?

Q39



What is your religion or belief?
